

Mid-term report on water pollutant emission

Deliverable D1.9

INNOVATIVE DECISION-MAKING TOOL FOR DEFINING THE MOST SUITABLE MANURE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES TO ACHIEVE A SUSTAINABLE LIVESTOCK FARMING SYSTEM DURING THE WHOLE VALUE CHAIN

Proposal number: 101135400-2



#HorizonEU

| Deliverable DX.X – Title | | | |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------|
| Deliverable Number | D1.9 | Lead Beneficiary | 6-ILVO |
| Deliverable Name | Mid-term report on water pollutant emission | | |
| Type | presentation | Dissemination Level | PU-Public |
| Due Dae (month) | M12 | Work Package No | WP1 |

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Grant Agreement No: | 1011135400 | Project acronym: | NUTRITIVE |
| Project Title: | INNOVATIVE DECISION-MAKING TOOL FOR DEFINING THE MOST SUITABLE MANURE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES TO ACHIEVE A SUSTAINABLE LIVESTOCK FARMING SYSTEM DURING THE WHOLE VALUE CHAIN | | |
| Financing scheme: | HORIZON-CL6-2023-ZEROPOLLUTION-02 | | |
| Project coordinator: | MEDRAR | | |
| Principal beneficiary: | 6-ILVO | | |
| Project start date: | 11/07/2024 | Duration of the project: | 48 month |
| Deliverable: | Deliverable 1.9 – Mid-term report on water pollutant emission | | |
| Contractual delivery date: | | | |
| Actual delivery date: | | | |
| Type of deliverable | Presentation | | |
| Dissemination Level | PU-PUBLIC | | |
| Authors: | 6-ILVO | | |
| Contributors: | | | |
| Version: | | | |

| History of change | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|--------------|--|
| Version: | Author: | Date: | Comments: |
| 1.0 | All WP1 partners | 04/06/2025 | Presentation M12 meeting assembled |
| 1.1 | All WP1 partners | 06/06/2025 | Final presentation M12 meeting based on final comments from the partners |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

“NUTRITIVE Project - Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or [name of the granting authority]. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.”

The designations employed and the presentation material in this information product (deliverable) do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the NUTRITIVE Consortium. Mention of specific companies, events, manufacturers' products does not imply that these have been endorsed or recommended by the NUTRITIVE Consortium.

The views expressed in this deliverable are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NUTRITIVE Consortium.

Third-party materials: Users wishing to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, are responsible for determining whether permission is required for such reuse and for obtaining permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third party proprietary component of the work rests solely with the user.



Mid-term report on water pollutant emission

Deliverable 1.9

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|---|
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 4 |
| 2. Mid-term report on pollutant emission | 6 |

1. INTRODUCTION

Livestock farming is a key sector that involves 40 % of the total agricultural activity in Europe, representing a total value for products equal to € 170 billion. However, there is an increasing concern due to livestock farming's contribution to environmental pollution since it generates more than 1.4 billion tonnes/year of manure leading to significant greenhouse gases (GHG) and air pollutants emissions (NH₃, NO_x) as well as to soil and water contamination caused by hazardous manure chemicals and biological contaminants (called here emerging contaminants). In this context extensive effort has been carried out for years to assess the detrimental effects of farming systems and to develop abatement methods to be implemented. However, despite major advancements, many fundamental issues are beyond the scope of existing legislation.

The main objective of NUTRITIVE is to develop a decision-making tool (DSS, decision support system) able to define the most efficient and sustainable (in its three pillars: environmental, economic, and social) manure management strategies for a given livestock farm limiting manure air emissions as well as soil and water contaminants. This will allow for the formulation of technical guidelines and recommendations that will support policy makers with enhanced knowledge to establish requirements for future European policies.

To fulfil this objective, the project is divided into six work packages (WP): WP1 Up-to-date inventory; WP2 Novel management strategies/technologies investigation; WP3 Modelling and Life Cycle Assessment (LCA); and WP4 Guidelines formulation; WP5 Communication, dissemination, and exploitation; WP6 Management (Figure 1).




Figure 1. NUTRITIVE methodology.

NUTRITIVE anticipates a wide spread of the project outcomes, with the synthesis of the consortium as a baseline: 22 partners (4 Chinese) from 8 different countries across Europe, covering 6 climatic regions (2 Chinese ones), representing the whole supply chain experts, from animal feed to soil application.




Figure 2. NUTRITIVE overview.

2. Mid-term report on pollutant emission

All deliverables D1.3 , D1.5, D1.7 and D1.9 are bundled into one presentation in cooperation with all the WP1 partners, which was presented during the M12 meeting in Turin. This table provides an overview of which deliverable to be found on which dia slides.

| | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Deliverable 1.3 | Air pollutants | Dia 10 - 12 |
| Deliverable 1.5 | Manure pollutants | Dia 13 - 15 Dia 19 -21 |
| Deliverable 1.7 | Soil pollutants | Dia 13 - 15 Dia 22 - 23 |
| Deliverable 1.9 | Water pollutants | Dia 13 – 15 Dia 16 - 18 |

Dia 1


***WP1: Up to date inventory*****NUTRITIVE**

Clean environment and zero pollution
(HORIZON-CL6-2023-ZEROPOLLUTION-02)


Dia 2

| TABLE OF CONTENTS | |  |  |
|---|-----------------------|--|---|
| <i>WPI: Up to date inventory</i> | |  | |
| 1. | SCOPE | | |
| 2. | PRACTICALITIES | | |
| 3. | TASKS & PLANNING WP 1 | | |
| 4. | Q&A | | |
| | | | |


Dia 3




Dia 4




Dia 5



Dia 6




Dia 7




Task description: A review of the grey, policy and academic literature will be conducted to identify and establish an inventory of up-to-date manure management strategies and technologies available and implemented across the EU. In addition, if available, relevant information concerning pollutants related to these solutions will be considered. Depending on available data, current adoption rates of manure management practices and technologies will be approximated to find out the state-of-the-art.

Dia 8



Dia 9



Dia 10

Task 1.3 air pollutant assessment: progress



Evaluation of mitigation options and their combinations based on the calculated emissions

Baseline and mitigated scenarios will be compared with national averages from GHG and NH3 inventory reports.

- o Different option of data collection methodology and tools were considered
- o Data collection template:
 - MilKey template>>>too extensive
 - **Template from Austria**
 - Used for Austrian and Swiss national emission inventory reporting
 - Proven and tested methodology
 - Survey that can easily be filled in together with the farmer
 - Includes all livestock types considered in NUTRITIVE
 - Flexible model for emission and emission mitigation calculation

Deliverable 1.3

Dia 11

Task 1.3 Template



Questionnaire on animal husbandry in Austria (TIHALO II)

Conducted by the HBLFA Raumberg-Gumpenstein, Institute 3 for Animal Welfare and Animal Health, together with the Federal Institute of Agricultural Economics and the Federal Environment Agency in Vienna. Commissioned by the Federal Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, Environment and Water Management

(Your information will be treated confidentially and anonymously and will not leave the scope of the project)

Instructions for completing the questionnaire

When creating the questionnaire, we made sure that the questions could be answered easily and without additional documentation. You will find comments on individual questions in the text. Please complete the sections that apply to your company.

To answer the questions, the entries should be made in the fields provided.

ja nein - hier können Sie die entsprechende Rubrik ankreuzen.

m² - hier können Sie die entsprechenden Zahlen eingeben, z.B. das Fassungsvermögen der Güllegrube von **310** m³.

m - hier können Sie die entsprechenden Zahlen mit einer Kommastelle angeben, z.B. die Tiefe der Güllegrube von **3,1** m.

General questions about your agricultural business

0.1 Location of the company : Federal state/district _____ / _____

0.2 Company number (optional)

0.3 Utilised agricultural area (incl. leased land, but excluding mountain pastures): ha (in whole numbers)

0.4 of which arable land ha of which grassland ha

Economics:



1. cattle


1.1 Housing systems and exercise area

Note: If animals of the same animal category are kept in different husbandry systems on the farm, a cross must be entered next to the respective husbandry systems. If several descriptions of a husbandry system apply to your farm, multiple answers are possible.

| | Number of animals (Ø) | Cubicle playpen/slurry/manure | Deep stable | Pecol manure barn | Compost barn | Fully slatted lay | Calf hut | Tied stall/manure | Tied stall/manure and dung | Floor design on walkways and feeding alleys | | | Is it an outdoor climate stable? | | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | levelled | Columns | combined | Rubber lips/gaps | Yes | no |
| Cattle 2 years and older | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1:1 Dairy cows | <input type="text"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 1.1:2 Suckler cows | <input type="text"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 1.1:3 Heifers | <input type="text"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 1.1:4 Bulls | <input type="text"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 1.1:5 Oxen | <input type="text"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Young cattle 1 to under 2 years | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1:6 Bulls | <input type="text"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Deliverable 1.3

Dia 12



Deliverable 1.3

Dia 13

Task 1.4 – 1.5 – 1.6: Pollutant assessment

Samples will be sent to ILVO or USC where the analysis will be carried out:

| Sample | Antibiotics | Antibiotic resistance genes | Pathogens | Antibiotic resistant bacteria | Heavy metals | Task Leader |
|--------|-------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Manure | ILVO | ILVO | Self-reliant | Self-reliant | Self-reliant | STA |
| Soil | ILVO | ILVO | ILVO | ILVO | ILVO | ILVO |
| Water | USC | USC | Self-reliant | Self-reliant | Self-reliant | USC |

! Self-reliant = Case-study is responsible for own analysis following requirements in SOP
 → *In some cases:* STA will do additional manure analyses, USC will do additional water analyses

Regarding
 timeline,
 sampling,
 storage,
 shipment procedures:

Manure: a.scarlata@staengineering.it

Soil: laurens.tuts@ilvo.vlaanderen.be

Water: marta.carballa@usc.es

D1.2 + reach out to task leaders for consultation

Deliverable 1.5

Deliverable 1.7


Deliverable 1.9

Dia 14

D1.2 Protocol pollutant assessment



- Harmonized protocol for soil, water and manure samples management and analysis (**Deliverable D1.2**)
--> Nutritive-cloud
- Target Pollutants
 - Antibiotic residues
 - Antibiotic resistance genes
 - Pathogens
 - Antibiotic resistant bacteria
 - Heavy metals
- Samples **Sampling characteristics** (when, how many?)
 - **Manure** ○ All manure used for fertilization in 2 periods (dry and wet)
 - **Soil** ○ 3 samples: before (T1), immediately after (T2), and 2 to 3 weeks after fertilization (T3) (dry or wet period)
 - **Water** ○ Surface water sample 3 to 4 weeks after application of manure on the soil in 2 different periods (dry and wet)




Specific timeline depends on the case study

Deliverable 1.5

Deliverable 1.7

Deliverable 1.9

Dia 15



Deliverable 1.5

Deliverable 1.7

Deliverable 1.9

Dia 16

Task 1.6 Water pollutants assessment

Antibiotic residues

Sulfonamides

- Sulfadoxine
- Sulfadiazine
- Sulfamethazine
- Sulfisoxazole
- Sulfamethoxazole

Tetracyclines

- Chlortetracycline hydrochloride
- Doxycycline hyclate
- Oxytetracycline
- Tetracycline

Fluoroquinolones

- Flumequine
- Ciprofloxacin

Chemosphere 352 (2024) 141455

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Chemosphere

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/chemosphere

Check for updates

Detection of antibiotic residues in groundwater with a validated multiresidue UHPLC-MS/MS quantification method

Laurens Tuts^{a,b,*}, Geertrui Rasschaert^a, Marc Heyndrickx^{b,c}, Nico Boon^b, Ralf Eppinger^d, Ilse Becue^a

UNIVERSIDADE DE SANTIAGO DE COMPOSTELA

Macrolides

- Clarithromycin
- Azithromycin
- Roxithromycin
- Erythromycin

Diaminopyrimidines

- Trimethoprim

β-lactam

- Cefalexin

Lincosamides

- Lincomycin hydrochloride

Deliverable 1.9

Dia 17

Task 1.6 Water pollutants assessment

Water samples received so far

Ghent (Belgium) - ILVO



1. CS20, 18/04/2025
2. CS21, 07/04/2025




Galicia (Spain) - MEDRAR



1. Sat as Pandas: Cow 1.1-23, Vilalba (Lugo), 04/06/2025
2. Outeiro SC: Cow 1.2-25, Chantada (Lugo), 04/06/2025
3. A Cernada: Cow 1.3-33, Palas de Rei (Lugo), 04/06/2025
4. Buzabella: Cow 1.4-36, Castro de Rei (Lugo), 04/06/2025
5. Labradela: Pig 1.5-24, Cervo (Lugo), 04/06/2025
6. Lucía Vázquez Calviño: Poultry 1.6-22, Chantada (Lugo), 04/06/2025

Deliverable 1.9




Dia 18




Deliverable 1.9

Dia 19

Task 1.4 Manure pollutants assessment: progress



- Agreed the allocation of analyses among the partners
- Defined the number of manure samples to be collected by each partner
- All the partners hypothesized two periods for the sampling campaign (dry and wet period)

| RESPONSIBLE | CODE | TYPE | COUNTRY | FIRST CAMPAIGN | SECOND CAMPAIGN | OBSERVATIONS |
|--------------|------------------|------------------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|--|
| STA #12 | Cow #12.4-45 | Conventional-Intensive | France | March 2025 | October 2025 | Solid manure from the barn |
| | Cow #12.1-27 | | Italy | | | Anaerobic digestate, only liquid |
| | Cow #12.2-29 | Conventional-Extensive | | | | Delayed due to prolonged rainy periods (Apr-May) |
| | Pig #12.5-30 | | | | | |
| | Poultry #12.6-26 | | | | | |
| Cow #12.3-31 | | | | | | |

What have we done in the past months:

Agreed the allocation of analyses among the partners

Defined the number of manure samples to be collected by each partner

All the partners hypothesized two periods for the sampling campaign (dry and wet)

Deliverable 1.5

Dia 20

Task 1.4 Present work

- Collecting (and receiving) samples from #1 campaign

| RESPONSIBLE | CODE | TYPE | COUNTRY | SAMPLING | OBSERVATIONS |
|-------------|------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| STA #12 | Cow #12.4-45 | Conventional-Intensive | France | To be collected | Solid manure from the barn Anaerobic digestate, only liquid |
| | Cow #12.1-27 | | | 29/04/2025 | |
| | Cow #12.2-29 | | | 09/04/2025 | |
| | Pig #12.5-30 | Italy | 10/04/2025 | | |
| | Poultry #12.6-26 | | To be replaced? | | |
| | Cow #12.3-31 | Conventional-Extensive | | 11/04/2025 | |

Issues, replace with organic-extensive cattle case study?

- Analysing (and shipping) samples
Example


| PARAMETER | MANURE | |
|---------------------|------------|-------------|
| | N° SAMPLES | RESPONSIBLE |
| PH-CHEM | 12 | STA |
| Heavy metals | 12 | STA |
| ARBs | 12 | STA |
| Pathogens | 12 | STA |
| ARGs | 12 | EV ILVO |
| Antibiotic residues | 12 | EV ILVO |

```

graph LR
    A[Complete #1] --> B[so far very few samples have been collected]
    C[Shipment] --> D[temperature]
    D --> E[labelling]
            
```


Deliverable 1.5

Dia 21



Deliverable 1.5




Dia 22



Deliverable 1.7

Dia 23

TASK 1.5 planning



Sampling & data collection

Analysis

Results

Deliverable 1.10


- Until December 2025 (M18)

• June 2026 (M24)

Request for **more samples**
since all case studies
must be sampled and analysed by M18

Deliverable 1.7

Dia 24



Dia 25

Send those samples please!

NUTRITIVE

Funded by the European Union
#HorizonEU

ILVO
Institute for Agricultural and Fisheries Research

TEAGASC CLIMATE CENTRE

USC
UNIVERSIDADE DE SANTIAGO DE COMPOSTELA

Sta
engineering

ATB
Leibniz-Institut für Agrartechnik und Bioökonomie

Dia 26

Thank you!

Funded by
the European Union

#HorizonEU

NUTRITIVE

INNOVATIVE DECISION-MAKING TOOL FOR DEFINING THE MOST SUITABLE
MANURE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES TO ACHIEVE A SUSTAINABLE
LIVESTOCK FARMING SYSTEM DURING THE WHOLE VALUE CHAIN